IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CR. WJC No.609 of 2007
ARCHANA KUMARI
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
---

3. 08.09.08. In the instant writ application the petitioner has prayed for directing the respondent authorities to file charge sheet against the accused persons of Patrakar Nagar (Kadamkuan) P.S. Case No. 619 of 2006, against whom the investigation has been completed much earlier, and the case has been found true.

Learned counsel for the State has submitted that Final Form has already been submitted in the instant case under Section 498 A. Seven out of eight accused persons have already appeared in the court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that she has subsequently come to learn that further investigation by the C.I.D. has also been ordered. As such, she apprehends that this may cause delay the further proceeding of the trial.

. The apprehension of the petitioner is misconceived. Once, the trial has commenced, the proceeding before the trial court will continue. Subsequently, inquiry by an agency would not come in the way of such trial. In case, whenever any such inquiry report is submitted by any other investigating agency, there is adequate provision before the court to take care of such subsequent reports.

It would be open for the petitioner to pursue her representation if any, filed before the Police Personnel.

With the aforesaid observation, this Criminal Writ application stands disposed of.

m.p. **(Samarendra Pratap Singh, J.)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL